IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.5407 OF 2005

Swati Pramod Dhanavde. ...Petitioner.
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
....
Mr.D. V. Sutar for the Petitioner.
Mr.C. R. Sonawane, AGP for the Respondents.
.....

CORAM : F.I REBELLO AND
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

September 19, 2005.

P.C.

The Petitioner who has filed the petition is a married daughter and considering the Government Resolution, she is not entitled for the appointment on compassionate basis.

2. It is, however, contended that her father expired when she was unmarried and as such the Respondents ought to have appointed her and non-appointing, by the Respondents has resulted in defeating her right.

3. The very object of appointment on compassionate basis is to help the family of the deceased. The Government Resolution

does not provide for appointment on compassionate basis to a married daughter. The Apex Court has observed that there is no right that a person must be appointed. The right is to be considered. A large number of representations are made to the Respondents for appointment on compassionate basis. The Petitioner's right to be considered will be considered when her case is to be considered. In the instant case, it now cannot be considered. In the light of that, no interference is called for. The petition is rejected.

(F.I. Rebello, J.)

(Dr. D.Y. Chandrachud, J.)